



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

271

CRWP No.4534 of 2024
Date of decision: 28.05.2024

Reeta Devi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J.(ORAL)

Status report by way of an affidavit of Jogender Sharma, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3-State has been filed by learned State counsel and the same is taken on record. A copy of the same has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

The stand of respondents No.1 to 3 stated in the reply reads as under:-

“5. That during the course of investigation, police team has approached the petitioners for joining into investigation. However, it is apparent to mention here that both the petitioners have got recorded their statements and stated that they do not want to pursue this case, as they do not have any apprehension or threat from the private respondents. The statement of petitioner No.1 and 2 has been annexed herewith as Annexure R-1 and Annexure R-2, respectively.

6. *That during the course of investigation, police team has approached the respondent No.7 i.e. Jasbir Singh son of Tejpal and his statement was got recorded. That he has stated that he did not hae any objection regarding the marriage of her bhanji/petitioner No.1. Further, he has stated that he has not extended any threat to the petitioners and he has nothing to do with the life of the petitioners. The copy of the statement of the respondent No.7 has been annexed herewith as Annexure R-3.*
7. *That it is respectfully submitted that after perusing the circumstances of the case and the relevant documents on record, it is found that there was not threat perception to the petitioners on the behest of the private respondents. Therefore, the present petition deserves to be dismissed”.*

In view of the status report, learned counsel for the petitioners has expressed its satisfaction.

In the light of above, no further order is warranted in this case and accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

28.05.2024
D.Bansal

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No