

**CWP-11666-2024****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH****(107)****CWP-11666-2024****Date of decision: - 18.05.2024****M/s Ryan Travels (Regd.)****....Petitioner****Versus****The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

**\*\*\*\*****VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to transfer the Registration Certificate of bus bearing No.PB23-F-2215 from M/s Daljit Transport to M/s Riyan Travels Reg. Ltd. by updating the tax and releasing the stage carriage permit for plying one return trip daily from Sultanpur to Ludhiana via Lohian, Malsian, Nakodar, Nurmahal, Phillaur route granted to the petitioner vide order dated 24.12.2021 (Annexure P-2). Other prayers have also been made in the petition.

2. A perusal of the reply dated 06.05.2024 (Annexure P-15) would show that it has been stated by the Regional Transport Officer,

**CWP-11666-2024****-2-**

Ludhiana that the petitioner has not deposited the application fee for the transfer of ownership of Bus No.PB23-F-2215 in their name from M/s Daljit Transport Company (Regd.) Narangwal, District Ludhiana and thus, the same has not been transferred. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to pay the said fee and would thus make a detailed representation along with the proof of payment of fee and has prayed that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, respondent No.2 considers the said representation, in accordance with law, in a specified time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms: -

- (i) It would be open to the petitioner to give a detailed representation to respondent No.2 within a period of two weeks from today.
- (ii) In case, any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the respondent No.2 would consider the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of submission of the said representation and in case, after considering the said representation, the respondent No.2 is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, the respondent No.2 is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of eight weeks.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits

CWP-11666-2024

-3-

of the case and the respondent No.2 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 18, 2024  
naresh.k

( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |