



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

101+283

CRM-43454, 43455-2024 in/and  
CRM-M-24834-2024  
Date of decision: November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2024

Rajpal Kaur  
.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another  
.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia and Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocates  
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-43454-2024**

Prayer in this application is for affecting service upon  
respondent No.2 through S.H.O. or through publication.

Since respondent No.2-complainant is now represented by  
a counsel, the instant application has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

**CRM-43455-2024**

Prayer in this application is for placing on record order  
dated 08.08.2024 passed by learned JMIC, Kharar, as Annexure P-12.

Application is allowed subject to just exceptions.

Annexure P-12 is taken on record.

**CRM-M-24834-2024**

Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail



***CRM-43454, 43455-2024 in/and CRM-M-24834-2024***

***-2-***

under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.147 dated 30.12.2023 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Mullanpur, District S.A.S. Nagar.

2. Vide order dated 30.07.2024, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 30.07.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. It has also been submitted that as per allegations, the petitioner along with her husband had received ₹1,68,00,000/- from the complainant, which have since been returned to the complainant, in which regard the statement of the complainant has also been recorded by the learned trial Court and stands reflected in the order dated 08.08.2024 (Annexure P-12), whereby the husband of the petitioner was extended the concession of regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and having cooperated with the investigating agency. However, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that out of a total amount of ₹4,99,00,000/-, only ₹1,68,00,000/- has been returned.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has, however, conceded that as far as the petitioner is concerned, an amount of only ₹1,68,00,000/- was received by her from the complainant.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 30.07.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid



**CRM-43454, 43455-2024 in/and CRM-M-24834-2024** **-3-**

down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

7.           However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2024  
*Puneet*

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned       :       Yes

Whether reportable                 :       No