

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CWP-12684-2020
Date of Decision: 10.04.2024

Neelam Kumari ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Pawan Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to promote her w.e.f. 01.01.2002.
2. The petitioner claims that her junior i.e. respondent No.5- Avtar Singh was promoted prior to her. She should be promoted prior to his date of promotion.
3. Mr. Pawan Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab submits that the petitioner was promoted as Senior Assistant w.e.f. 30.07.2001 whereas Avtar Singh was promoted w.e.f. 01.01.2000. He was further promoted to the post of Superintendent Grade-II on 02.12.2016. The petitioner retired on 30.09.2020 before she could be considered for promotion as

Superintendent Grade-II. The claim of the petitioner that Avtar Singh was promoted to the post of Senior Assistant after her promotion is factually incorrect.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid fact.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.04.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No