



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26189-2024
Date of decision: 02.07.2024

AAMIR AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nafees Ahmad Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Grover, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Cyber, Gururgram on behalf of respondent No.1 has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
84	15.12.2022	419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC	Cyber Crime Police Station, Gurugram, District Gurugram

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was



271

CRM-M-26189-2024

2

report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram, vide report dated 14.06.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 24.05.2024.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 84 dated 15.12.2022 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Cyber Crime Police Station, Gurugram, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.07.2024

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No