

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARHSr. No.109CM-2957-LPA-2024 in/and
LPA-1228-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.07.2024

State of Haryana and others

..... Appellants

Versus

Raj Pal

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDAPresent : Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. There is a delay of 338 days in filing of the instant intra court appeal. As per the application filed by the State seeking therein condonation of delay the impugned judgment is dated 01.05.2023. On 18.07.2023 the Advocate General, Haryana (for short, AG) opined against filing of an appeal. On 17.08.2023 the Legal Remembrancer, Haryana (for short, LR) reiterated the opinion given by the AG. Thereafter, through letter dated 12.10.2023 the LR reversed his opinion and accordingly, the instant appeal was filed on 02.05.2024.

2. The application for condonation of delay reveals no reason as to why the LR changed his opinion and that too when the AG had specifically opined against filing of the appeal. In the application there is also not found any explanation whatsoever with regard to the period between 12.10.2023 i.e. the date of the second opinion of the LR and the filing of the appeal on 02.05.2024.

3. In the light of the afore facts, we find that the State has miserably failed in explaining the inordinate delay of 338 days in filing of the present appeal. Resultantly, the application filed for condonation of delay is dismissed and consequently, the appellants' appeal also meets the same fate.

4. All other pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

22.07.2024

shamsher

[DEEPAK MANCHANDA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No