



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-11599-2024
Date of Decision: 17.05.2024

RATAN LAL

.... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been instituted under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide the application-cum-representation dated 17.06.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to his grievance, the petitioner has submitted representation dated 17.06.2023 (Annexure P-1) upon respondent-authorities but no action has been taken by the respondent-authorities.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that at this juncture, he would be satisfied if respondent No.3-the Collector-cum-Deputy



CWP-11599-2024

-2-

Commissioner, Gurugram is directed to consider and decide the Legal Notice dated 17.06.2023 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

Learned State Counsel does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, in view of the above, with the consent of the parties and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of while directing respondent No.3-the Collector-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Gurugram to consider and decide the Legal Notice dated 17.06.2023 (Annexure P-1) by passing a reasoned and speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

MAY 17, 2024
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No