

CWP-11602 of 2024

2024:PHHC:085912



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11602 of 2024

Date of decision: 11.07.2024

Jagjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: - Mr. Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate,  
for Mr. Abhilaksh Gaiind, Standing counsel  
for respondents No.2 and 3.


**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner as driver.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset confines his prayer for deciding representation dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-4). He submits that at this stage, petitioner would be satisfied, if the said representation is considered and decided by passing a speaking order in a time-bound frame.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate, accepts



notice on behalf of Mr. Abhilaksh Gaind, Standing Counsel for respondents No.2 and 3.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition, respondent No.2 – Managing Director, PRTC, is directed to consider and decide the claim made by the petitioner in representation dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

11.07.2024  
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No