218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11427-2024
Date of decision: 28.05.2024

SURINDER SINGH GREWAL ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ankit Midha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab.

****

NAMIT KUMAR ,J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, quashing the charge sheet issued against him vide memo dated 10.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) and restraining the respondents from conducting disciplinary proceedings after his retirement.

2. Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3 and filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his claim for deciding the representation dated 17.04.2024 (Annexure P-8). He submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the said representation is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.3, on instructions from Sh. Hira Singh, Law Officer, submits that the said representation shall be decided by respondent No.3 within a period of two weeks.

5. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Corporation to consider and decide the representation dated 17.04.2024 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of two weeks from today.

28.05.2024 **(NAMIT KUMAR)**
renubala **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

Renu Bala
2024.05.29 10:25
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document