



CWP-11476-2024

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11476-2024

Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Nittu

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Lalit Pardhan, Advocate (Through VC)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for the issuance of E-certificate in the subject of Economics to the petitioner, being declared qualified for JRF and Assistant Professor in the subject of law as well as qualified for Assistant Professor only in the subject of Economics as per UGC-NET December-2021 and June-2022 (Merged Cycles).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a legal notice dated 30.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the respondents and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.3 is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 30.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

**CWP-11476-2024****-2-**

3. Learned Counsel for the respondents has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.3 would consider the said legal notice dated 30.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.3 to consider the legal notice dated 30.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority of respondent No.3 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority of respondent No.3 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.3 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

16.05.2024*Mehak***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No