



334

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3288-2023

Date of decision: 28.08.2024

Bhinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Pawanpreet Kaur and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Varshit Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 18.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, whereby an application dated 18.06.2021 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioners/plaintiffs seeking appointment of Local Commissioner in civil suit, has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners and the respondents have jointly submitted that in the present case, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the same has been settled/compromised by virtue of detailed compromise deed dated 03.10.2023 and the said compromise has been made a part of the present



proceeding. It is further submitted that the present case be disposed of in terms of the said settlement/compromise.

3. A perusal of the paper book would show that detailed settlement/compromise dated 03.10.2023 has been entered into between the parties and thus, the present revision petition is disposed of in terms of the said settlement/compromise.

4. All the parties to the settlement/compromise would be bound by the terms and conditions of the said compromise.

28.08.2024

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No