



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24541-2024

Date of decision: 22.08.2024

SATISH ALIAS SATISH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
141	10.05.2023	420, 467, 120-B. 468 and 471 of IPC.	Siwani, District Bhiwani.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 29.07.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 29.07.2024.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from SI Raja Ram, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial investigation.

4. During the course of hearing on 29.07.2024, following order

was passed:



CRM-M-24541-2024

2

“ It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the alleged transaction and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR but his name has surfaced on the basis of alleged disclosure statement made by co-accused Ravinder. He further contends that the petitioner is not the beneficiary of the said transaction and the recovery of the amount in question has already been effected from the co-accused who has since been granted concession of bail.

2. This factual position has not been controverted by learned State counsel who by referring to the reply submitted by the State has contended that the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused Ravinder. He submits that total six co-accused have already been arrested and the challan qua them has already been presented in Court for trial. He further submits that two more cases are registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has clarified that the petitioner is on bail in those cases.

4. In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. List on 22.08.2024. ”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation



CRM-M-24541-2024 3

consequent to the order dated 29.07.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 29.07.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.08.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |