

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

303

CRM-M-25465-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.03.2024

Ish Kumar Saini and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Aakansha Gupta, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners who are husband and his other family members have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No. 127 dated 31.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Division 2, Pathankot alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 26.04.2023 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

Pursuant to the order dated 30.10.2023 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court and order dated 06.02.2024 passed by this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court concerned, to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, has submitted her report along with statements of the parties vide letter dated 11.12.2023 and 28.02.2023

duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Pathankot.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioners and respondent No. 2 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise, which have been found to be valid, genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. Respondent No. 2 has received total amount of Rs.29,70,000/- (Rs.23,70,000/- was received earlier and balance amount of Rs.6,00,000/- was received vide DD No. 370213 dated 23.05.2023 subject to the realization of the afore-mentioned DD).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR emanates from the matrimonial dispute between the parties. He further submits that as per report, all the accused are party to the compromise and they have never been declared as proclaimed offender.

Learned State counsel has stated that she has 'no objection' in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise qua the petitioners.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.

After perusing the report submitted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioners and respondent No. 2. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***"Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab", 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,***

it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”***, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced here-in-below:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed here-in-above, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 127 dated 31.07.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Division 2, Pathankot, along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.04.2023 (Annexure P-3),

CRM-M-25465-2023 (O&M)

-4-

2024:PHHC:032418

are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioners.

06.03.2024

Divyanshi

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No