



CRM-M-24864-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24864-2024
Date of decision: 11.07.2024

NILESH JAIN AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Mayank Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.2.

....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 02.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
566	07.12.2023	380, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC	Sector 17 HUDA, Jagadhri, Yamuna Nagar

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 02.03.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,



CRM-M-24864-2024

-2-

Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, vide report dated 28.06.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 18.05.2024, an amount of Rs.10,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of the Blind, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 566 dated 07.12.2023, under Sections 380, 468, 471and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 17 HUDA, Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

11.07.2024
puneet

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |