



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24592-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 17.05.2024

LAKHVEER SINGH ALIAS LAKHA SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. B.S.Sra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.33 dated 06.04.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 458, 324, 323, 148, 149 and 506 of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda and later on offences under Section 326, 325 IPC were added vide G.D. No.30 dated 15.04.2024 and offence under Section 459 IPC was added vide G.D. No.16 dated 17.04.2024.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case whereas there is delay of 2 days in lodging the FIR. The alleged injury attributed to the petitioner is that he gave daang blow which hit on the left eyebrow of the complainant-Karamjit Ram. He further submits that co-accused has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 15.05.2024 passed in CRM-M-24357-2024.



CRM-M-24592-2024

-2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and on instructions from ASI Som Parkash opposes the prayer on the ground that the injury given by the petitioner is grievous in nature as per doctor opinion.

5. Be that as it may, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, wherein other co-accused has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail and the fact that the injury is not on the vital part of the body which is attributed to the petitioner. It is a case wherein the interrogation would not be required if the petitioner in a bona fide manner is willing to join the investigation and associate himself and cooperates himself in furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

6. Hence, in view of the admitted set of circumstances before this Court, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation and reporting to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

7. In case the petitioner fails to abide by the direction given by this Court particularly regarding joining of the investigation, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the instant anticipatory bail.

8. The petition in the aforesaid terms stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

17.05.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>