



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25150-2024

Date of Decision : 05.12.2024

NONI @ KHUSHPREET

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Mehtab Singh, Advocate for
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bahla, Advocate,
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 02.08.2024, a co-ordinate bench of this Court had passed
the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that earlier the petitioner was granted interim bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge and he had also joined the investigation, but despite joining, his bail application was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that since the petitioner was not cooperating with the investigation, so his bail application was dismissed.

On asking, learned State counsel submits that the main accused, namely, Gurlal Singh @ Gurlal Singh Lali and Gurpreet Singh @ Billa have been arrested.

The petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when called by SHO/Investigating Officer and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.

Adjourned to 25.11.2024. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to her by ASI Akshay Kumar, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 02.08.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

December 05, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No