



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11255-2024

Date of decision: 15.05.2024

Naveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Ranjit S. Bajaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue reschedule of payment qua plot No.35-C, measuring 500 sq. yards, Surya Enclave Extension, Jalandhar, to enable petitioner to start depositing the balance amount. Demand qua the same has been made repeatedly by petitioner but the same has not been issued by respondents No.2 & 3.”

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with legal notices dated 30.10.2022 (P-5) and 16.10.2023 (P-7). Whereupon, vide communication dated 19.02.2024 (P-8), the Local Government Department, Punjab Government, had required the Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Jalandhar (respondent No.3) to examine the matter and take necessary measures. It is urged that even though a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate, along with Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate, for



respondent Nos.2 and 3 is present in Court. He, on instructions, submits, for the matter is already under active consideration of the authorities, it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent-Trust to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall be heard. And a formal communication, in this regard, shall be issued to him, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-Trust and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent-Trust submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondent-Trust.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

15.05.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No