

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

INCOMP-2024-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 18.12.2024

RICKY SEHGAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

NIDHI SAREEN

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - None.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

1. The petition in hand was filed on 15.05.2023 by Sh. Harshit Jain, Advocate.
2. The Registry has raised objection(s) on the case file. However, the same has not been complied with till date. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders; the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it and is to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The petition in hand was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On such failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list whereby the learned counsels were requested to collect all their cases lying with objections and for re-filing the same after removal of the objections. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order(s).

3. The matter has been listed for hearing today. Registry objections have not yet been removed.
4. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual aspect/matrix, no further order is required to be passed in the matter. The file be consigned to the record room.

18.12.2024
Nisha Yadav

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>