

2024:PHHC:027299

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248 CRM-M-42814-2022
Date of Decision : **February 27, 2024**

SURINDER KUMAR SENGARPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.
Ms. Heena Singh, Advocate for respondent No.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, the grievance as raised by the petitioner is that no action is being taken on a complaint which was filed by the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite specific recommendation as made by the Deputy Commissioner concerned, the police has still not taken any action so far.
3. Be that as it may, since the petitioner has an alternative efficacious remedy as engrafted under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., this Court at this stage, is not inclined to exercise its powers as sought to be invoked through the instant petition. Therefore, the instant petition is ordered to be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum/Court by making an appropriate motion.
4. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 27, 2024
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No