

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13604 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision :09.01.2024

Sudesh Kumari
.....Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

After we had heard the matter at length, learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 had prayed for a short pass over to seek instructions from the competent authority as regards delivery of actual physical possession of the allotted site to the petitioner. On his request the matter was again taken up at 4:15 P.M. and he submits that the petitioner shall be delivered the actual physical possession of the allotted site by the concerned official tomorrow before 12:00 P.M. provided that the petitioner would remain present at the site and facilitate carrying out the necessary formalities in this regard.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives, the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

09.01.2024
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No