

CRM-M-25961-2022

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-25961-2022

Date of decision:15 .01.2024

Ravi Sharma

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. On 24.07.2023 the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel seeks some time to get his instructions. On his request, adjourned to 03.11.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when called for. In the even of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing adequate surety and personal bonds to the satisfaction of the Arrest Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the terms and conditions as specified in Section438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. ”

2. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh has stated that pursuant to the order dated 24.07.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of above, the interim order dated 24.07.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be

CRM-M-25961-2022

2

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., 1973 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2024
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No