



133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CWP-11235-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.05.2024**

Viren Garg and Company and Another

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana & Others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana.

-.-

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the action taken by the respondents to close down their vend, he has submitted a representation but respondents have not decided the same. The petitioner has suffered losses for which he is claiming compensation.

2. We are of the firm view that if any representation is submitted to the authorities, they are supposed to decide the same.

3. Learned counsel for the State is present in the Court on serving of copy of this Writ Petition as advance notice. Accordingly, we direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 29.02.2024 made by the petitioner within a period of 15 days henceforth.

4. The petitioner would be also allowed to appear personally to submit his grievance and it is expected from the authorities to pass speaking



CWP-11235-2024 (O&M)

-2-

order and communicate the same to the petitioner. The petitioner, if still aggrieved, shall be free to take legal remedies as per the law.

5. In view of the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

6. All pending applications filed in this case shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

May 14, 2024
tripti

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No