

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.24982 of 2023
Date of decision: 30th January, 2024

Vikramjit Sharma @ Kaka & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.13 dated 11.01.2023 under Sections 420, 406, 506, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station City 2 Mansa, District Mansa along with all consequent proceedings.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that very strange and unbelievable allegations have been leveled in the FIR, which has been annexed as Annexure P-1, to the effect that the petitioners, who are the parents of daughter-in-law of the complainant, were responsible for some dispute which had taken place between the complainant's son and his wife, who admittedly were based out of India. Learned counsel submits that on the face of it, the allegations leveled were totally baseless because it is not even the case of the complainant that the petitioners had at any point in time, traveled to England and were

in any manner responsible for the strained relations/dispute between the spouses.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. APS Tung, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State/respondent No.1. Mr. Rajpal Singh, Advocate puts in appearance and files his power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Kamaljit Singh, has informed the Court that during investigation carried out by the investigating agency, no substance was found in the allegations leveled, as a result of which a cancellation report had been prepared and which would be presented before the trial Court in the near future.

6. In view of the instructions received by the learned State counsel, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that he would not press the instant petition as the same has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

7. Dismissed as infructuous.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 30, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No