



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(105)

CWP No. 11559 of 2024
Date of Decision : 17.05.2024

Raj Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioner is claiming that her husband is working in the Government of Haryana and is posted in District Sirsa.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and her husband are posted about 280 kilometers apart, whereas as per the Instructions dated 26.09.1986 (Annexure P-2), the couple should be posted at a nearby station so that, they do not suffer any prejudice, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to post the petitioner at a nearby station to Sirsa so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 04.04.2024 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in



case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 04.04.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 17, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No