



CWP-11197-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-11197-2024

Date of Decision :15.05.2024

Ram Lakhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dharamveer Phour, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, this is the 3rd round of litigation, which the petitioner is facing with regard to the grant of benefit of regularization of his service.

2. The petitioner was appointed in the year 1992 and his services were terminated in April, 1996, which order was challenged by the petitioner by raising an Industrial Dispute and by Award dated 14.01.1999, the petitioner was reinstated in service with continuity and full back wages were granted to him from the date of issuance of the demand notice dated 21.08.1996 but while considering the claim of the petitioner for the grant of regularization of service under the Policy dated 01.10.2003, it has been mentioned that the petitioner has only been granted continuity of service from 2001.



CWP-11197-2024

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by raising the same plea, a writ petition was filed, wherein, only the back wages were foregone by the petitioner but even the continuity of service is being treated from the year 2001, which is contempt of Court.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the order dated 18.04.2024 may kindly be withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order in accordance with law by keeping in mind the decision of this Court in CWP No.2158 of 2020 titled as ***Ashish Sharma and others vs. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 13.03.2024 and fresh appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from today.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order has been withdrawn, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

8. Ordered accordingly.

May 15, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No