



242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25051-2024

Date of decision: 02.07.2024

RAJAT VERMA

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for

Mr. Vishal Pundir, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.475 dated 03.12.2020 under Sections 354-D, 365, 506, 511 of IPC (Section 34 of IPC was deleted at the time of presentation of challan), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 17.05.2024 :-

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.475 dated 03.12.2020 under Sections 354-D, 365, 506, 511 of IPC (Section 34 of IPC was deleted at the time of presentation of challan), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 02.07.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Vishal Pundir, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Memorandum of Appearance, which is taken on



record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 undertakes to file Vakalatnama in the Registry before the next date of hearing.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.475 dated 03.12.2020 under Sections 354-D, 365, 506, 511 of IPC (Section 34 of IPC was deleted at the time of presentation of challan), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

July 02, 2024
manisha

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |