



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

894

CWP-15498-2009 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

Bir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Challenge made in the present petition is to the instructions dated 18.02.2008, whereby fixation of pay has been ordered to be reviewed on the basis of seniority of the employees working at depot level instead of State level.
2. Learned State counsel refers to para 2 of the preliminary submission to which there is no replication, relevant of which read thus:

“That the petitioner was appointed as un-skilled Helper by the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Karnal on 08-03-1975 F.N. on temporary basis in the pay scale of 80-02-90/03/120 whose name was sponsored by the Employment Exchange. It is further submitted that the qualification for the post of Helper was not Matric with I.T.I. Certificate because it was specifically mentioned in the rules that only read and write Hindi. Therefore, the petitioner can not be granted the pay Scale of Skilled Employee vide F.D Notification 31-01-1995 which was the revised pay scale for Technical post. Regarding the pay scale of Mahabir Parshad is concerned, it is submitted that he was appointed as Asstt. Fitter in the pay scale of Rs. 400-600 w.e.f. 12-10- 1981. Thereafter the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Bhiwani granted the pay scale of Rs. 480-760 instead of Rs. 400-600 being matric with I.T.I. diploma holder in specific trade w.e.f. 12-10-1981 i.e. from the date of appointment vide order No.19406/ECW dated 16- 09-1981. Thereafter in compliance of Transport Commissioner, Haryana

letter No.23037-52/E4/E2 dated 24-07-1987 the benefit was withdrawn by the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Charkhi Dadri. Sh. Mahabir Parshad filed the C.W.P. No. 536 of 1989 titled as Satyvir Singh & Others Vs State of Haryana & Others against the order of General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Charkhi Dadri.”

3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case need arises.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

30.09.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No