



CWP-13114-2022 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13114-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.09.2024**

Md. Arshi Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Group of Colleges and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gagan Oberoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praagbir Singh Dhindsa, Advocate for respondents
No.1, 3 and 4.

Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the suspension letter dated 26.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) and further seeking a writ in the nature of *mandamus* for issuance of direction to respondent-College allowing the petitioner to sit in the examination to be held as per date sheet (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on 26.05.2022 petitioner along with some other students were suspended from the respondent-College and thereafter they were not permitted to enter into the respondent-College. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that now the petitioner does not want to join the



respondent-College because he has already joined some other college and so far as his prayer for setting aside his suspension from the respondent-college is concerned, he does not wish to press the aforesaid prayer because the petitioner does not want to come back to the respondent-College. He submitted that, however, petitioner is entitled for grant of compensation because he was wrongly suspended from the respondent-College.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1, 3 and 4 submitted that it is a case where the petitioner along with some other students misbehaved with a teacher and consequent upon the same they had been suspended from the respondent-College and now as per learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner does not wish to join the respondent-College again and so far as the prayer for grant of compensation is concerned, the same has not been prayed by the petitioner in the present petition and therefore the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. I have heard learned counsels for the parties.

5. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for setting aside the suspension order (Annexure P-2) but now petitioner does not wish to come back to the respondent-college as he has already joined some other college. The only prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of arguments is with regard to grant of compensation. In this regard, learned counsel for the respondents No.1,



CWP-13114-2022 (O&M) 3

3 and 4 submitted that there is no specific prayer made by the petitioner in the present petition for grant of compensation.

6. This Court is of the considered view that in the absence of any prayer made for the grant of compensation in the petition, it would not be justified for this Court to consider the prayer for grant of compensation and even otherwise also in case the petitioner wants to raise his grievance with regard to grant of compensation in the nature of damages, then he may do so by seeking any other alternate remedy by filing any civil suit or any other proceeding strictly in accordance with law.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

17.09.2024
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No