



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(101)

CWP No. 11428 of 2024
Date of Decision : 17.05.2024

Dr. Chandni Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Aakriti Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner had approached this Court by filing CWP No. 2689 of 2024 challenging the transfer and also claimed that she should be allowed to continue at Gurugram by granting her extension and the said writ petition was disposed of with direction to the respondents to decide the representation and the respondents failed to pass any order on the said representation despite expiry of sufficient time.
2. Notice of motion.



3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the order on the representation of the petitioner has been passed on 16.05.2024, copy of which has been produced today in Court.

5. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to challenge the order dated 16.05.2024 by availing appropriate remedy.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 17, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No