

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(209) CWP-1006-2011
Date of Decision : February 08, 2024

Vinod Kumar Sharma .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is claiming the benefit of seniority on the basis of the adhoc service rendered by the petitioner but keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Malook Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2021 (4) SCT 305*, the benefit of adhoc service cannot be given.

Keeping in view the fact that no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioner, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

February 08, 2024 (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
harsha JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No