

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(250)

CWP-12457-2020

Date of decision: - 15.01.2024

Hem Parkash

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or directions in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to proceed with the departmental inquiry during the pendency of the criminal trial in case FIR No.88 dated 11.05.2020, under Sections 188, 269, 270 & 409 IPC and Section 26 of the Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Sector 6, Dharuhera, District Rewari.
2. Learned State counsel has pointed out that as per the reply filed by the State, all the prosecution witnesses including the common witnesses have already been examined in the departmental proceedings prior to the grant of interim order and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the stand taken by the respondent-State, seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to take up all the points which are available to him during course of the departmental inquiry.
3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2024
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No