

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.106

LPA-1207-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.07.2024

State of Punjab and another

..... Appellants

Versus

Nirmal Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr.Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl.A.G., Punjab.
* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. A perusal of the impugned judgment reveals that the learned State counsel had agreed before the learned Single Judge that the case of the respondent is covered in his favour by the judgment of this Court in *Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab and others* 2012 (3) SCT 362.
2. In the light of the above statement made on behalf of the State as also after relying on another judgment by a Full Bench of this Court in *Kesar Chand vs. State of Punjab* 1998 (2) PLR 223, the learned Single Judge has allowed the respondent's writ petition and held that continuous *adhoc* service rendered by the respondent followed by regular appointment shall be counted towards qualifying service for pension.
3. In view of the above, the instant appeal at the behest of the State would not be maintainable.
4. Dismissed.
5. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

11.07.2024
shamsher

[DEEPAK MANCHANDA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No