



CWP-11408-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP-11408-2024

Date of Decision :16.05.2024

Ravi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that though, the petitioner wanted to compete for Group-D post under the BC(A) category but due to technical hitch, his category could not be mentioned as BC(A) and the application form was submitted in the general category, which inadvertent mistake was tried to be rectified by the petitioner later on by way of submitting a representation with the respondents, which representation has not been considered by the respondents and the petitioner is being treated in the general category whereas, the candidate in the BC(A) category having lesser marks than the petitioner, has already been appointed for the post in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by

**CWP-11408-2024****-2-**

way of submitting a representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P/7), which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case, a time bound direction be issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case, representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P/7) has been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be extended to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

May 16, 2024*aarti***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*