



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(106+241)

CM-6236-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-12223-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.11.2024

Sukhcharan Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sukhjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that during the pendency of the petition, the claim of the petitioner has been considered and an order dated 15.01.2022 has been passed, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure R-1, wherein, the petitioner has not been found eligible for the grant of certain benefits keeping in view the service record as well as the length of service of the petitioner, due to which, the petitioner could not be granted the benefit of the ACP as being claimed and as the said order has not been challenged, hence, it can be presumed that the petitioner is satisfied with the order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions.



Keeping in view the above, as the order Annexure R-1 has not been challenged by the petitioner so far, the present petition is disposed of that in case the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner against the order Annexure R-1, she can avail appropriate remedy.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 28, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No