

CRM-M-34546-2023

Standard Farms Pvt. Ltd and Others

..... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Uday Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the FIR No.148 dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Gurgaon City and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2023 (Annexures P-2 & P-3) entered into between the parties.

Vide order dated 20.07.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order

CRM-M-34546-2023**-2-**

dated 20.07.2023 with regard to the compromise dated 18.10.2023 and 16.03.2023 (Annexure P-2 & P-3).

In terms of the order dated 20.07.2023 passed by this Court the parties have appeared before the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram and as per report dated 04.08.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in "**Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543**".

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589** and this Court in

CRM-M-34546-2023**-3-**

Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739-2010 decided on 27.04.2011, Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017, Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019 and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.148 dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Gurgaon City and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, qua the petitioners only.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

February 20, 2024

satish

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No