

218-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-823-2023 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 09.05.2024

PRIYANKA AND ANR.PETITIONERS

VERSUS

MANNU GUPTARESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: - Mr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the respondents.

.....

SUMEET GOEL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the adjudication regarding fixation/entitlement of final maintenance amount is pending adjudication before the concerned Family Court and has reached at the stage of evidence of the husband.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that substantial evidence has been already led before the said Court. Learned counsel for the parties are further *ad idem* that, any order passed by this Court adjudicating the question of interim maintenance is likely to have a bearing on the adjudication of final maintenance by the said Family Court.

Accordingly a joint prayer has been made that the instant petition be permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the respective parties to put forth their case, in accordance with law before the said Family Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

The concerned Family Court is directed to expeditiously culminate the proceedings regarding fixation/entitlement of the final maintenance amount in accordance with law after affording requisite opportunity to the concerned parties.

It is expected that the said adjudication shall be finally made latest within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of certified copy of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

09.05.2024

Jatin

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No