



135

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25260-2024
Date of Decision: 20.05.2024

DEEPAK VERMA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed in Criminal Complaint No. NACT-46-2015 titled as “*Ajay Kumar vs. Deepak Verma*” under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panipat whereby the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person and FIR No. 547 dated 28.05.2020 under Section 174-A IPC registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat (Annexure P-4), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. In *nutshell*, the brief facts of the case are that the petitioner in discharge of his liability, issued cheque amounting to ₹1,25,000/- to the complainant and on presentation, the same was dishonored, as such a



criminal complaint *NACT-46-2015 titled as "Ajay Kumar vs. Deepak Verma"* under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act was filed against the petitioner and summons were issued and petitioner failed to appear before the Court and vide order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) petitioner was declared as proclaimed person and FIR No. 547 dated 28.05.2020 under Section 174-A IPC registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat (Annexure P-4) was registered against the present petitioner.

3. It is, *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had paid all the outstanding dues and the complainant has suffered statement that the accused has paid the entire cheque amount alongwith compensation and he does not want to continue with the complaint, accordingly vide order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure P-8) the complaint has been dismissed as withdrawn. He submits that petitioner appeared in learned trial Court and he was admitted to bail on furnishing the bail bonds vide order dated 29.08.2022 (Annexure P-5), as such the order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) declaring the petitioner as proclaimed person stands culminated. He further submits that since the complaint in question has also been withdrawn vide order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure P-8) the impugned order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) also stands culminated and continuation of the instant FIR (Annexure P-4) on the basis of impugned order would be abuse of process of law. He has referred to the judgments cited as *Harbans Singh vs. State of Haryana, and another, CRM-M-56596 of 2022, AIR Online 2022 (P and H) 1035; Aditya Goyal*



vs. State of Haryana, CRM-M-11269 of 2019: AIR Online 2019 (P and H) 2070; Lakhwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, CRM-M- 37155-2021; CRM-M-16528 of 2023, Harnek Singh Vs. State of Haryana; CRM-M-52319-2021, Sharvan Kumar Singh @ Sarvan Singh vs. State of Haryana; CRM-M-4344 of 2017, Anil Kumar vs. State of Punjab and another; to

argue that since the main complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act has been withdrawn by the complainant on the basis of amicable settlement, therefore, the impugned order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) and the FIR No.547 dated 28.05.2020 (Annexure P-4) under Section 174-A IPC be quashed and consequential proceedings arising therefrom be also set aside.

4. Learned State counsel has not disputed the factual matrix of the case and admitted the withdrawal of complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, against the petitioner vide order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure P-8).

5. I have heard the respective submission made by the learned counsel for the parties.

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it is not disputed that a complaint was filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act against the petitioner and the present petitioner was declared proclaimed person vide order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) in the said complaint and on the basis thereof, the impugned FIR No.547 dated 28.05.2020 (Annexure P-4) was registered against him. It is not disputed that petitioner appeared in the learned trial Court and was admitted



to bail and furnished the bail bonds on 29.08.2022 (Annexure P-5). It is also not disputed that the aforesaid complaint has since been withdrawn by the complainant vide order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure P-8) on the basis of amicable settlement between the parties. It has been held in the judgments referred to above that when the main complaint in which the petitioner has been declared proclaimed person have been withdrawn on the basis of amicable settlement then continuation with the proceedings under Section 174-A IPC would be nothing but the abuse of the process of law.

7. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, without going into the controversy as to whether the petitioner was aware of the proceedings going against him under the Negotiable Instrument Act or not; the fact remains that the petitioner had been declared as proclaimed person in the complaint referred to above vide order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) and the complaint has already been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure P-8), meaning thereby, with the dismissal of the complaint the impugned order passed during the course of proceedings of the complaint had already stood culminated. Therefore, the registration of the present FIR on the basis of above said order declaring the petitioner as proclaimed person would not serve any purpose and keeping the said FIR and consequent proceedings alive after the dismissal of the complaint would be abuse of process of law.

8. Consequently, keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed, impugned FIR No.547 dated 28.05.2020 (Annexure P-4) registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police

Station Panipat City, District Panipat, Haryana and order dated 25.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Panipat in Criminal Complaint NACT-46-2015 whereby petitioner was declared as proclaimed person and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby set aside.

9. Petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

20.05.2024
Gyan

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes |