



(1)

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

[104] CM-10869-CWP-2024 & CM-10870-CWP-2024 in  
RA-CW-289-2024 in  
CWP-9896-2023  
**Date of Decision: 06.09.2024**

HEMRAJ AGGARWAL AND OTHERS ..... PETITIONERS  
**VERSUS**  
UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS .....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. C.S.Bagri, Advocate for the petitioners.  
  
Mr. Ashish Rawal, Sr. Panel Counsel, for respondents  
No.1, 2 and 5.  
  
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate with  
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate for the review-applicant  
  
Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for respondents  
No.3, 4 and 6-NHAI.  
  
Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)**  
**CM-10869-CWP-2024**

1. The instant application has been filed for seeking condonation of delay of 40 days in filing the instant review application.
2. For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is allowed, and, the delay of 40 days in filing the instant review application is condoned.

**CM-10870-CWP-2024**

1. The instant application has been filed for seeking condonation of delay of 22 days in re-filing the instant review application.
2. For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is also allowed, and, the delay of 22 days in re-filing the instant review application is condoned as well.



(2)

**RA-CW-289-2024**

- 1. There is no error apparent on the face of the record of the verdict, asked to be reviewed, at the instance of the applicant.
- 2. Consequently, this Court finds no merit in the review application, and, the same is hereby dismissed.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 06, 2024**

Anjal\*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |