



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M No.23742 of 2024
Date of Decision: 22.05.2024

FAJJARPetitioner

Vs
STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.256 dated 03.07.2022 (wrongly recorded as 01.07.2022 in the dismissal order Annexure P-1) under Sections 170, 420, 467, 468, 471, 489-B IPC at Police Station Hathin, District Palwal (Haryana), wherein the petitioner has been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement made by Deen Mohammand followed by recovery of 12 counterfeit notes in the denomination of Rs.200/- total amounting to Rs.2400/-.
2. The prayer made on behalf of the petitioner has been opposed by learned State counsel by referring to the antecedents of the petitioner, who is stated to be involved in four more cases, out of which two are of similar nature and he even stands convicted in one of those cases and, thus does not deserve the concession of regular bail.



3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. In the present case, the investigation already stands concluded with the filing of challan followed by framing of charges. Petitioner is in custody for the last more than 01 year and 02 months and the trial is likely to take sometime in its culmination. As regards involvement of the petitioner in two more cases of similar nature is concerned, the same cannot be taken to be an absolute rule for denying concession of regular bail.
5. Considering the aforesaid facts, I do not find any justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.
7. It is made clear that this order may not be construed as expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.

May 22, 2024

Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No