



CRM-M-23438-2024 (O&M)

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-23438-2024

Date of Decision: 31.08.2024

**SURENDER SINGH MANN @ SURINDER SINGH MANN AND
ANOTHER**

.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent No. 2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 35, dated 17.04.2024, under Sections 406 and 498-A of the IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, District Ludhiana.

2. The matter was referred in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, in terms of the order dated 10.05.2024 and subsequent to that the petitioners were directed to join the investigation in terms of the order dated 17.05.2024.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State submits that the petitioners have joined investigation, in terms of the order aforesaid order dated 17.05.2024, passed by this Bench and also contends that their further custodial interrogation is not required.



CRM-M-23438-2024 (O&M)

4. It has been noted in the order dated 22.07.2024 that the matter between the parties stand settled in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. The report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre is also on record.
5. On 22.07.2024 counsel for respondent No. 2 had sought a short accommodation. Today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners, as well as, respondent No. 2. In view of the fact that the matter has been settled in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and settlement agreement had been executed; till today there is no challenge to the said settlement; the petitioners have joined the investigation; and their further custodial interrogation is not required by the Investigating Agency, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 17.05.2024 passed by this Bench, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
6. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of the State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of the order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

August 31, 2024
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No