



CRM-M-23661-2024 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-23661-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.08.2024

KIRPAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present:- Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate with
Mr. Kashav Chadha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.22 dated 18.04.2024 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 22.05.2024, this Court passed the following order and directed the petitioner to join the investigation:

‘Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 22.05.2024, by way of affidavit of Sh. Kanwalpreet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub- Division Patti, District Tarn Taran, on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab, which is taken on record.

Adjourned to 08.08.2024.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within ten days and in the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Area Magistrate, subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, and also subject to the following conditions:-

(i) That the petitioner shall further make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.



- (ii) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
- (iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Trial Court/CJM concerned.'

- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that injuries were inflicted by the son of the petitioner, which are recorded in the Medico legal report (Annexure P-2). He further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of a false FIR registered at the instance of respondent No.2, who is daughter-in-law of the petitioner.
- 4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has informed that the petitioner had joined the investigation and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.
- 5. Keeping in view the reasons recorded in the order dated 22.05.2024 and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation and the allegations *inter se* the petitioner and complainant are matter of trial, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 22.05.2024 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
- 6. Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.
- 7. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

21.08.2024

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No