



120
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10881-2024
Date of decision : 10.05.2024

Umar Mohd. ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order dated 28.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) vide which the petitioner was ordered to be compulsorily retired.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that vide order dated 09.05.2024, the notice of retirement dated 28.03.2024 issued to the petitioner has been withdrawn and it has been observed that fresh notice will be issued, in accordance with law. Learned State counsel has further submitted that in view of the same, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above, the present petition be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the petitioner to file a writ petition, in case, future cause arises.
4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of at this stage, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 10, 2024

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No