

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2024:PHHC:080435



201

CWP-10856-2024

Date of Decision: 14.06.2024

Dr. Jitender

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA.**

Present: Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Senior Standing Counsel for
respondent no.2.

.....

SANDEEP MOUDGIL.J

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a Writ of Certiorari to quash the letter no. NBEMS/ DoTM/ 2023/ 31002/ 2023/ 23120387/ 23441001789/ 49201 dated 12/15.04.2024 (Annexure P-7), whereby the candidature as NBEMS Trainee was cancelled for the reason that he did not submit Self Appraisal Report.

2. While invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India a Writ of Mandamus has also been prayed for not relieving the petitioner from the Institute namely Holy Heart Super Speciality and Trauma Centre, Rohtak-respondent no.4.

3. The question for consideration and for answer raised before this Court would be culled out as whether the respondent can deny admission to the petitioner after having completed his joining merely for the reason that

Self Appraisal Report was not produced by the petitioner since it was not a pre-requisite at the time when the admission to D.M.,M.Ch and DrNB Super Speciality courses were invited to be made via Information Bulletin, 2023 (Annexure P-1).

4. Before appreciating the arguments on behalf of the respective parties, it would be apposite to have a glance on the factual background leading to the filing of the instant petition which could be read as that respondent no.2-National Board of Examinations in Medical Sciences (NBEMS) announced the National Eligibility cum Entrance Test (NEET) for Super Speciality with the purpose of admission to D.M., M.Ch and Dr.NB courses with the issuance of guidelines in the form of Information Bulletin-2023 (Annexure P-1). Being fully eligible the petitioner admittedly has applied for the said course and was issued Roll No.23441001789 on 29.09.2023 vide Admit Card dated 29.09.2023 enabling him to appear in the aforesaid examination. The petitioner qualified and successfully passed the said examination and was called for the first round of counselling but could not succeed and thereafter in the second round again the petitioner was issued a schedule, who after deposit of fee of Rs.1,25,000/- on 30.12.2023 got allocated a seat with the Holy Heart Super Speciality and Trauma Centre, Rohtak-respondent no.4.

5. It is an admitted case on behalf of the parties that the petitioner submitted all the requisite documents as per schedule and his joining was completed with respondent no.4 against the allocated seat on 30.12.2023 itself (Annexure P-5).

6. It was an e-mail dated 08.02.2024 issued by respondent no.2-National Board of Examinations in Medical Sciences (NBEMS) calling

upon the petitioner for a Self Appraisal Report which was also submitted on 13.02.2024 and the status on the login was reflected as 'Joining Completed' (Annexure P-6). According to the aforesaid status on the portal of NBEMS the petitioner having considered his joining as confirmed in final but to utter dismay of his, came to know vide letter dated 12/15.04.2024 issued by the Department of Training and Monitoring, NBEMS, New Delhi i.e. "candidature stands cancelled" w.e.f. 12.03.2024 on account of non-submission of Self Appraisal Report which was served undisputedly at petitioner's home address wherein it was received on 26.04.2024. The petitioner immediately stated to have submitted his grievance on 30.04.2024 on the portal of respondents no.2 and 4 that he never received any such notice for submission of Self Appraisal Report after 13.02.2024 with the assertion that such notice/e-mails were found in the spam folder after he checked his e-mail account on receipt of notice for cancellation of candidature on 28.04.2024. A reference to that effect calling the assertions of petitioner has been made to the representation dated 30.04.2024 (Annexure P-8).

7. However, the respondents having failed to respond to the said representation, the petitioner left with no other alternate, approached this Court by instant writ petition alleging the action of respondents no.2 and 4 and particularly to be unstatutory, arbitrary and malicious.

8. Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, learned counsel for petitioner having argued that the petitioner has though uploaded his Self Appraisal Report on the online portal of NBEMS on 13.02.2024 but still the respondents without appreciating the said document went on to cancel his candidature without affording any opportunity of hearing including the admitted fact that no

show cause notice was ever issued to him by any of the respondent authorities before taking such a drastic step.

9. A Coordinate Division Bench of this Court issued notice of motion to respondent no.2-NBEMS only vide order dated 09.05.2024 and finally a reply was filed by the respondent no.2 on 27.05.2024, though, it was a short affidavit and another opportunity was prayed for to respondents particularly to the averments made in paras 7 and 8 of the writ petition which finally came to be filed on 05.06.2024, wherein the matter was deferred for arguments to be heard on 14.06.2024 before this Vacation Bench considering the urgency relating to admission of the petitioner in a premier course.

10. Mr. Ravi Sharma learned senior standing counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.2 would argue that the petitioner has not completed any of the steps of Self Appraisal Report subsequent to his joining the course consequently hospital/institution did not complete the verification of the Self Appraisal which is meant for determining the eligibility of candidates for registration with respondent no.2-NBEMS accredited institute allocated through the centralized merit based counselling. Mr. Sharma further contested on behalf of respondent no.2 while asserting that the eligibility of candidates for said NBEMS Programme is determined on the basis of prescribed criteria in the Information Bulletin for respective entrance examination and denied the uploading of any document by the petitioner on 13.02.2024. Respondent no.2 has also denied the status of petitioner on login being shown as "Joining Completed". Further on facts it is vehemently stressed by Mr. Ravi Sharma learned senior standing counsel for respondent no.2 that the notices were circulated on 17.01.2024,

15.02.2024 and even an e-mail dated 28.02.2024 on petitioner's personal e-mail ID with a final notice dated 05.03.2024 with the list of such candidates who did not submit their Self Appraisal Report by 29.02.2024, such notice was uploaded on its official website and despite another e-mail dated 07.03.2024 the petitioner did not complete the Self Appraisal Report uploading as the respondent no.2 granted one last opportunity with a specific rider that in case needful is not done, the candidature of 2023 Admission Session shall be deemed as cancelled. Mr. Sharma further refers to the fact that the competent authority on reviewing the matter submitted for cancellation of candidature of all such candidates and through e-mail dated 01.04.2024 the petitioner was informed qua cancellation of his candidature w.e.f. 12.03.2024 which is after following due process inasmuch as various notices were issued to the petitioner for submitting Self Appraisal Report who failed to respond to the said notices.

11. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties and have gone through the submissions and record made available before this Court.

12. The facts which do not have contravention before this Court would culminate as that the petitioner after applying for the course in question was declared successful and was allocated a seat with the respondent no.4. He deposited the necessary fee of Rs.1,25,000/- for the related course of Cardiology with the Trainee Type 'Dr.NB Super Speciality on 30.12.2023 at 6:09:41 PM bearing receipt no. 202336479962189. The NBEMS-respondent no.2 issued acknowledgement of reporting/joining after the necessary documents as required in the Information Bulletin NEET-Super Speciality, 2023 (Annexure P-1). The documents uploaded by the petitioner were checked, verified and agreed by the respondents on

31.12.2023 at 07:50 PM which is abundantly clear on perusal of acknowledgement before this Court dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-5).

It is also not in dispute that at this stage also there was no requirement of Self Appraisal Report, though, the petitioner after having received the notice via e-mail dated 08.02.2024 submitted his Self Appraisal Report on 13.02.2024.

13. This uploading of Self Appraisal Report is though denied by respondent no.2 while arguing before this Court but in their reply dated 01.06.2024 by way of affidavit of Smt. Rashmi Munjal, Assistant Director, NBEMS could not deny the status report dated 29.04.2024 which shows on the portal of NBEMS-respondent no.2 as “Joining completed” which is subsequent to the alleged notice asking for submission of Self Appraisal Report that was lastly dated 28.02.2024. Mr. Ravi Sharma learned senior standing counsel has failed to explain the contradictory stand taken by respondent no.2 in one go i.e. while denying the receipt of Self Appraisal Report on 13.02.2024 and in the another breath admitting the status of petitioner as “Joining completed” vide report dated 29.04.2024 uploaded on its portal at 2:17 PM. Mr. Sharma also could not deny the fact that Self Appraisal Report by candidate was for the first time asked on 08.02.2024 i.e. much later to the completion of the admission process on 30.12.2023. It was also not disputed on behalf of respondent no.2 that such requirement of Self Appraisal Report was not a pre-requisite for seeking admission in the course in question in the Information Bulletin, 2023 (Annexure P-1) wherein vide Item No.8 the following information/documents were to be uploaded online:-

“8.1. New User Registration: All candidates desirous of applying for NEET-SS 2023 shall be required to

create an online profile of themselves to generate a User ID and Password.

8.2. Applicant Login: This User ID and Password so created will allow the candidate to login as an applicant for NEET-SS 2023 and register an online application. The "Go To Application" link will allow the applicant to continue with the application submission immediately after user creation.

8.3. If the Login password is lost, it can be retrieved by clicking "Forgot Your Password".

*8.4. **Name of Candidate:** Please mention your full name **as in Post Graduate Medical Qualification Certificate**. Name as entered by the candidate while creating his/her User ID shall be reflected in a non-editable format in his/her examination application form. No change in the name shall be permissible under any circumstances after submission of an application. The candidate shall be required to produce his/her Govt issued ID proof bearing same name at the test centre on the test day to seek entry. It is strongly advised not to submit an application with an error in the candidate's name as it shall not be allowed to be edited after application submission. If the user has entered his/her name wrongly while registering the user, please create another user with correct name.*

8.5. Father's and Mother's Name: Please mention the full name of your Father and Mother carefully while registering the user.

8.6. Gender and Date of Birth: Indicate your gender and Day, Month & Year of your birth. Please enter the correct date of birth carefully while registering the user.

8.7. Nationality: Please enter country of your citizenship. An Indian Citizen should indicate, if he/she is an NRI.

*8.8. Email ID: Email ID chosen by the applicant candidate shall be verified through a system generated OTP for user creation. **Same email ID can not be used for registration of any other user concurrently.** Please note that all email correspondence with the applicant candidate shall be done at this email ID only. Email chosen by the applicant candidate*

shall be the primary means to communicate with the candidate for examination purposes. *Information related to examinations shall be sent at this email ID. Candidates shall also be able to communicate with National Board of Examinations in Medical Sciences through this registered email only. Correspondence received from any other email ID of the candidate shall not be entertained. Therefore, candidates are advised to choose the email ID carefully.*

8.9. *Mobile No: Please provide the desired mobile number for receiving Examinations related communications sent through SMS. Mobile number chosen by the applicant candidate shall NOT be the primary means to communicate with the candidate for examination purposes.*

8.10. *The User ID/Application ID and password so generated shall be sent to the registered email ID of the candidate for future records.*

8.11. *The index page of NEET-SS 2023 at National Board of Examinations in Medical Sciences website <https://natboard.edu.in> provides a quick link, "Applicant Login". The online application form for NEET-SS 2023 can be accessed through this quick link after successful login.*

8.12. *The candidate can login using his/her User ID and Password.*

8.13. *The application submission process can be completed either in a single sitting or in multiple sittings, as per the candidate's choice, during the application submission window. However, once an application is successfully completed & submitted, it shall be available for editing certain field only during the "edit window".*

8.14. ***Candidates are advised not to complete their application registration process in a haste so as to avoid any errors in providing information.***

8.15. *The candidate shall be required to provide information as asked under various heads. It is strongly recommended to keep the required information handy before start filing the*

application. Some of the salient heads in the application form are as under:

8.16. Selection of Question Paper Groups: *A candidate will be able to choose amongst the available choices of Question Paper Groups for which his/her broad specialty qualification is an eligible feeder. Accordingly, the candidates will be able to choose one or more than one group to appear in. The Examination fee shall be charged accordingly.*

8.17. Upload of Prescribed Documents & Images (Photograph, Scanned Signatures and Thumb Impression): *Please refer Image Upload Instructions available at NBEMS website <https://natboard.edu.in> and at the end of this information bulletin for details of specifications for uploading photograph, signatures and thumb impression. Images which are not as per prescribed specification shall not be considered and may lead to rejection of the application.*

8.18. *The test city can be selected from available choices on first come first serve basis.*

8.19. *After selection of test city, the candidate shall be required to pay the prescribed examination fee online. The fee can not be paid through any mode other than the payment gateway available in online application form.*

8.20. *Kindly ensure that the payment made for examination fee is successful and confirmation of the same can be seen in the application form under payment status reflected as "S" (for successful). In case the status is shown as pending, the payment might be stuck with your bank and might be cleared at a later date. The application submission process is not completed till such time the status of payment is reflected as "s" in application form. NBEMS shall not be responsible for rejection of your application due to delay in settlement of the payment by your bank to NBEMS.*

8.21. *It is at the discretion of the applicant to make another payment for completing the application submission process. In an event of receipt of duplicate/multiple examination fees*

towards the same application ID, NBEMS shall refund the excess fees received for an application retaining the prescribed examination fee, after closure of application window.

8.22. Candidates shall be prompted to preview the entire application before submission in order to make any corrections, if so required. They shall be required to submit the application after agreeing to the "declaration". This shall complete the entire process of application submission.

*8.23. **Acknowledgement of Application Submission:** An acknowledgement email shall be sent to the registered email ID confirming submission of an application by the candidate.*

*8.24. **Edit Window:** Candidates who have successfully submitted their application with successful payment during Application Submission window shall only be allowed to edit their applications during **19th August 2023 to 21st August 2023**. No new application can be registered during edit window. No payment can be made in the edit window.*

8.25. All editable fields in the application form shall be open for the candidate to make any corrections, if so required. Details of field which can not be edited are mentioned below.

*8.26. Information entered in the application form can be changed during the "Edit Window". **However, following fields in the application form shall remain non-editable:***

- * Name of the Candidate*
- * Mobile Number*
- * Email ID*
- * Nationality*
- * Test City*

8.27 No editing in information provided shall be permissible in the application form, once edit window is over.

8. NBEMS disclaims any liability that may arise due to incorrect information provided by the candidate during online application form submission.

8.29. NBEMS does not edit /modify/alter any information entered by the candidates at the time of online submission of application form under any circumstances.

8.30. Subsequent to closure of edit window, deficiency related to images uploaded in the application form shall be communicated to concerned candidates. Deficiency related to images uploaded (photograph, Signatures, Thumb Impression) can be corrected during the **final edit window i.e. 26th August 2023 to 28th August 2023**.

8.31. Candidates who fail to submit duly completed applications with requisite documents and/or fail to rectify the deficiencies in their applications by the last date prescribed for rectification shall be declared ineligible. **Admit Card shall not be issued to candidates who are declared ineligible.** In such cases, the entire fees will be forfeited.

8.32. The details of candidates w.r.t. Name, mobile Number, Email ID, Nationality etc will be populated in the Registration Form of Counseling to be conducted by MCC of DGHS. Hence, candidates are advised not to change their details till the completion of counseling to avoid problem in Registration for counseling.

8.33. The following sequence shall be observed while filing the online application form:

- * Fill the user registration form to generate User ID/Application ID and Password.
- * User ID and Password will be sent through SMS and Email.
- * Complete the application form and upload your Photograph, Scanned signature, Thumb impression & Prescribed documents.
- * Choose your Test City and pay Examination Fee
- * Agree to the declaration and Submit Application
- * Take a print out of the filled Application form with Transaction ID printed on it for records.”

14. After the petitioner was successful in the second round of counselling, he was allocated a seat with the respondent no.4 with the reporting time as December 24, 2024 02:31 to which the petitioner strictly adhered to and submitted all the necessary/essential information and documents were checked, verified and agreed by the respondent authorities on 31.12.2023 while uploading the status as 'Joined'.

15. There is a subsequent change in the status on the web portal of respondent no.2 on 29.04.2024 showing the reporting/joining of the petitioner as 'Joining completed' i.e. later to the impugned letter before this Court vide which his candidature was cancelled on 12/15.04.2024. The respondents have also not denied the screen shots of portal of respondent no.4 as well as respondent no.2 placed before this Court as Annexures P-6 and Annexure P-8 dated 29.04.2024.

16. Apart from the disputed fact that whether the petitioner has uploaded the Self Appraisal Report in time as averred in the petition i.e. on 13.02.2024 after it was sought for by the respondent no.2 for the first time on 08.02.2024 much later to the completion of admission process/joining completed of the petitioner on 30.12.2024, the another moot question for consideration and examination before this Court has cropped up to say whether any terms and conditions can be altered which are not part of the original Information Bulletin, 2023 subsequent to even completion of the whole admission process.

17. It is coming forth in the reply of respondent no.2 itself that the eligibility of candidates for said NBEMS Programme is to be determined on the basis of prescribed criteria in the Information Bulletin for respective entrance examination and on successfully meeting the said criteria and

submission of prescribed documents to NBEMS through the Training Institute candidates get registered with it as Trainees. A candid defence has been raised to justify their act i.e. demand of Self Appraisal Report after completion of whole admission process, in para 1(i) of its affidavit dated 01.06.2024, the relevant part of which would read as under:-

“The outlines for self appraisal process were published vide NBEMS notice dated 17.01.2024 and are also mentioned at OPJR. If you see the screenshots of the Self-Appraisal page under Step – 1, “Joining Completed” is status of joining/reporting. It has nothing to do with self-appraisal. Self Appraisal starts only after joining is completed.”

In the light of aforesaid stand taken by respondent no.2 itself, it is crystal clear that respondent no.2 has for the first time asked for Self Appraisal Report from the petitioner and other like selected candidates on 08.02.2024, though, the admission process and the joining of candidates including the petitioner stood completed by 31.12.2023. There is no denial to the status report dated 29.04.2024 (Annexure P-6) relied upon by the petitioner which shows the status ‘Joining completed’. This Court is sanguine of the fact that the petitioner was allowed to participate in the selection process on the basis of criteria laid down in the Information Bulletin-2023 (Annexure P-1) to which he successfully passed out. Accordingly, he was allocated a seat with respondent no.4 Institute. The petitioner has also deposited the requisite fee along with essential documents as was required vide Information Bulletin at the time of admission on 30.12.2023 which was duly checked, verified and approved by the respondent authorities which is further evident on perusal of acknowledgement report dated 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-5) issued by

NBEMS-respondent no.2 itself. Notices subsequent thereto served via

e-mail on 08.02.2024 by the respondent no.2 have added another requirement in the criteria to maintain the successful admission of the petitioner without any rhymes and reasons. The petitioner would have bonafide proceeded on the basis of eligibility in terms of Information Bulletin-2023 issued by respondent no.2 wherein no reference qua Self Appraisal Report was made and even at the time when his admission was completed on 30.12.2023 after checking and verification of the documents but subsequently alteration to the criteria is without competency or authority with the respondent no.2.

18. This Court in case of **Amardeep Singh Sahota Vs. State of Punjab (1993) 4 SLR 673** in a Full Bench decision held that the selection for admission should be finalized in the light of criteria specified in the orders already in force and the Prospectus after ignoring the offending notification introducing a change at a later stage. Such observation has also been made by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Civil Appeal No.9717/2011 (Parmender Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others)**, wherein while considering the admission in the Post Graduate and Diploma courses which had commenced on the basis of the Prospectus while holding that no change could, thereafter, be effective to alter the provisions contained in the Prospectus.

19. This Court would deem it appropriate to emphasise that 'State action must not be arbitrary but must be based on some rational and relevant principle which is not discriminatory; it must not be guided by any extraneous or arbitrary consideration, because that would be denial of equality. The principle of reasonableness and rationality which is legally as well as rationally an essential element of equality or non-arbitrariness is

projected by Article 14 of Constitution of India and it must characterize every such action whether it be under authority of law or in exercise of executive power without making of law. The State or any of its instrumentality cannot, therefore, act arbitrarily while making public appointments or entering into any relationship of contractual nature or otherwise with a third party or in the process of admission by its institutions by deviating from the guidelines and the conditions laid down in the admission brochure. The respondent authorities are bound to act confirming to some standard or norms which is rationale and non-discriminatory.

20. In **Rahul Prabhakar Vs. Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar 1997(3) RSJ 475**, a Full Bench of this Court recapitulated the entire law on the subject while considering the same brochure for the previous year of the said university. The Court held as under:-

“A Full Bench of this Court in Amardeep Singh Sahota v. State of Punjab (1993) 4 Serv LR 673 had to consider the scope and binding force of the provisions contained in the prospectus. The Bench took the view that the prospectus issued for admission to a course, has the force of law and it was not open to alteration. In Raj Singh v. Maharshi Dayanand University, 1994 (4) R.S.J. 289 another Full Bench of this Court took the view that a candidate will have to be taken to be bound by the information supplied in the admission form and cannot be allowed to take a stand that suits him at a given time. The Full Bench approved the } view expressed in earlier Full Bench that eligibility for admission to a course has to be seen according to the prospectus issued before the Entrance Examination and that the admission has to be made on the basis of instructions given in the prospectus, having the force of law. Again Full Bench of this Court in Sachin Gaur v. Punjab University, 1996 (1) RSJ 1: (AIR 1996 Punj. & Har.

109) took the view that there has to be a cut off date provided for admission and the same cannot be changed afterwards. These views expressed by earlier Full Benches have been followed in CWP No. 6756 of 1996 by the three of us constituting another Full Bench. Thus, it is settled law that the provisions contained in the information brochure for the Commion Entrance Test 1997 have the force of law and have to be strictly complied with. No modification can be made by the court in exercise of powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Whenever a notification calling for applications, fixes date and time within which applications are to be received whether sent through post or by any other mode that Lime schedule has to be complied with in letter and spirit. If the application has not reached the co-ordinator or the competent authority as the case may be the same cannot be considered as having been filed in terms of the provisions contained in the prospectus or Information Brochure. Applications filed in violation of the terms of the brochure have only to be rejected.”

21. The cumulative reading of the facts involved in the case in hand while applying the ratio from **Amardeep Singh Sahota, Parmender Kumar and Rahul Prabhakar’s cases (supra)**, I am fully convinced that the subsequent alteration has been made at the end of respondent no.2 by introducing requirement of Self Appraisal Report after the admission process was completed and Session has started much prior to such demand suffers from irrational, arbitrary and discriminatory approach of the respondents.

22. Another similarly situated candidate Dr. Vikrant bearing Roll No.23441003931 submitted the Self Appraisal Report later which was accepted by the respondents as well as in the case of Dr. Abhinav Bhardwaj, who on absolutely identical circumstance has been allowed to continue in

the course whose Self Appraisal Report could not be uploaded at all on account of some issues of MCC Portal but necessary information was furnished by the concerned institute namely Dr.NB Super Speciality Cardiology where he was allocated the seat. In the case of petitioner as well there are various communications by respondent no.4 to respondent no.2 requesting for resolving the issue of problem at MCC Portal due to which the Self Appraisal Report of the petitioner, though, submitted on 13.02j.2024 probably could not be furnished by respondent no.4 to respondent no.2 as is evident from the communications made via e-mail dated 13.02.2024 and 14.02.204 as well as on 18.02.2024 and lastly on 22.02j.2024 (Annexure P-10 colly). The respondent no.2 has also granted such benefit to Dr. Abhinav Bhardwaj when he approached this Court vide CWP-5284-2024 while raising an identical issue which rendered the said writ petition as infructuous as is evident from order dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-11) passed by this Court in that writ petition.

23. As has been discussed hereinabove including the discriminatory treatment meted out to the petitioner apart from arbitrariness and unfair approach of the respondents in cancelling the candidature of the petitioner is writ large which cannot be allowed to sustain.

24. Before culminating, this Court would also wish to quote that action as has been depicted in the instant writ petition speaks in volumes which is not only irrational or arbitrary and discriminatory but clearly reflects high-handedness of educational institutes and respondents statutory authorities like respondent no.2, who are forcing and compelling young generation, who are at the threshold of their academic career with a dream to be fulfilled especially in the course in which the petitioner got admission

after unprecedented hard work. Such practice on the part of the respondent authorities needs to be curbed and which would not only discourage the younger generation to do hard work but will also demoralize them and lowering their confidence in the system of educational policy as well as administration of justice.

25. Hence, the order cancelling the candidature of the petitioner is hereby quashed with exemplary cost of Rs.25,000/- to be paid to the petitioner by respondent no.2, which has not only deviated from the basic rules and regulations as stipulated in its Information Bulletin-2023 but also attempted to jeopardize a bright academic career of the petitioner, who got the admission in the course as a dream coming true feeling encrypted within him.

26. Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

14.06.2024
lucky

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No