



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CRM-M-23790-2024

Date of Decision.:13.05.2024

N. Rajagopal

Petitioner

Vs.

M/s. Corpora Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Chirag Khurana, Advocate with
Mr. Anish Verma, Advocate for
the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer is made to set aside order dated 03.02.2024 (Annexure P-1), whereby warrants of arrest have been directed to be issued against the petitioner in complaint bearing No.NACT/2697/2020 titled "M/s. Corpora Consultants Pvt. Ltd. v. M/s. San Enterprises & Ors." pending in the Court of learned JMIC, Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel contends that it was a cheque bounce matter. Parties had appeared before the Mediation Centre and vide Mediation Report dated 16.04.2022, settlement money was fixed to be ₹1,20,00,000/-, which was payable by the petitioner upto 30.11.2023. Learned counsel contends further that an amount of ₹50,25,000/- has already been paid upto December, 2023. Learned counsel also submits that petitioner is making arrangement for making payment of the remaining amount as per the settlement. However, he could not appear before the Court on 03.02.2024, due to which his bail has been cancelled and



CRM-M-23790-2024

-2-

warrants of arrest have been issued. Learned counsel contends further that petitioner is ready to surrender before the Trial Court and that he may be provided necessary protection.

3. This petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to the petitioner to surrender before the Trial Court concerned within a period of 15 days from today. On his such appearance, the Trial Court will initiate proceedings under Section 446 Cr.P.C. against the petitioner and after disposal thereof, shall admit the petitioner to bail.

4. It is made clear that till the disposal of proceedings under Section 446 Cr.P.C., petitioner shall not be taken into custody. If in the meantime, petitioner is sought to be arrested on account of warrants of arrest having being issued against him, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer.

5. Since this order has been passed in the absence of the respondent, therefore, the respondent will be at liberty to approach this Court, in case he is aggrieved by the order.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

May 13, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No