



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(121)

CWP No. 11149 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.05.2024

Suresh Kumar Haritwal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Devender S. Punia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners competed for the post in question as advertised vide Advertisement dated 28.12.2020, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-1 and though, the petitioners have already been selected on the said post but they were not allowed to join due to the pendency of the litigation but subsequently, when the litigation came to an end, petitioners have been appointed but prejudice have been caused to them for not being given appointment from the date the similarly situated candidates were allowed on the said post, hence, respondents are liable to be directed that petitioners should be given antedated appointment along with the similarly situated employees with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation



dated 17.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 17.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

May 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No