

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-4210-2024
Date of decision: 09.05.2024**

Amritpal Singh and another **....Petitioners.**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **....Respondents.**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners, prays for correction in the memo of parties on the ground that inadvertently, respondent No. 2 has been mentioned as Senior Superintendent of Police, District Batala, instead of Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur.

2. Allowed as prayed for and it is ordered that respondent No. 2 be read as Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, instead of Senior Superintendent of Police, District Batala.

3. Registry to carry out the necessary correction.

4. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioners, apprehending threat to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5, had moved the representation dated 03.05.2024 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, but till date no action thereon has been taken.

6. He further submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2, to consider and dispose of the aforesaid representation in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

7. Notice of motion to official respondents.

8. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3 and submits that the aforesaid representation will be disposed of in an expeditious manner in accordance with law.

9. After considering the rival contentions and considering the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with

the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, to consider and dispose of the representation 03.05.2024 (Annexure P-5 in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

- 10. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners.
- 11. Disposed of.

09.05.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |