

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 29.08.2024

1. CWP-15144-2022

Bhupinder Kumar Gahlaut and another

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioners

.... Respondents
2. CWP-8558-2023

Nirmal Kumari

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioner

.... Respondents
3. CWP-8556-2023

Ashita Gosain

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioner

.... Respondents
4. CWP-9291-2023

Ramjas Yadav

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioner

.... Respondents
5. CWP-18566-2023

Manjeet Singh and others

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioners

.... Respondents
6. CWP-19285-2023

Adarsh Kumar

Vs.

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

.... Petitioner

.... Respondents
7. CWP-22024-2023

Nirmala Devi @ Nirmala Devi

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Petitioner

.... Respondents

8. CWP-22125-2023

Nirmala Yadav and another	 Petitioners
Vs.	
State of Haryana and others	 Respondents

9. CWP-14519-2022

Rajiv Bansal and others	 Petitioners
Vs.	
Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others	 Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kadian, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-15144 of 2022,
8556, 8558, 8291, 22024, 22125 of 2023).

Mr. Vikas Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-19285-2023).

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-18566-2023).

Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CWP-14519-2022).

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate,
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for the respondent(s)-HSVP

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J.

For, learned counsel for the parties submit that the matter in issue in this bunch of 9 petitions is identical, these are being disposed of by a common order and judgment.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties submit that in the wake of the policy dated August 06, 2024, issued by the Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP), that envisages allotment of alternate site/plot to the original allottees/reallottees, the claim

of the petitioners could be examined in terms thereof. However, learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP submit that owing to the Model Code of Conduct, that is in operation with respect to the ensuing Assembly Elections, three months' time be granted to the authorities to deal with the concerns/grievances of each of the petitioners and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law. It is urged that before any such orders are passed, the petitioners shall also be heard. And, a formal communication in this regard shall be issued to them, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners are agreeable to the course suggested by the learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP and submit that let these petitions be disposed of in terms of the statement made by them.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, these petitions are accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authority shall consider/examine the matter in the right earnest, and pass appropriate orders, assigning reasons in support thereof, as expeditiously as possible.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

29.08.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No