



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-23592-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.07.2024

TARSEM SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Status report dated 12.07.2024 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalanwali, District Sirsa, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
136	21.04.2024	15(B) of the NDPS Act	Kalanwali, District Sirsa

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 10.05.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the



petitioner may be confirmed.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Chandan Singh has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

5. Heard.

6. During the course of hearing on 10.05.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner has been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused namely Gurmail Singh @ Gaili and that the quantity recovered is non-commercial in nature. Learned counsel further relies upon order passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) bearing No. 1266 of 2023 tilted as “Vijay Singh Vs. State of Haryana”.

Notice of motion for 18.07.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner will join investigation before the Investigating Officer as and when called. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 10.05.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 10.05.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this



case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.07.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No