

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(266) CWP-13117-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : May 01, 2024

Heera Lal and others .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate, for
 Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

 Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that the petitioners are being replaced by another set of employees, which is not permissible, such act of the respondents is causing prejudice to the petitioners.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the respondent-employer in the present case is a private entity and not the State and as no writ petition is maintainable against the private entity hence, the present writ petition may kindly be dismissed keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by this Court in ***CWP-12274-2020 titled as Kumar Saurabh and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 30.09.2020.***

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the objection raised by the learned State counsel, present petition may

kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to invoke the jurisdiction of appropriate forum for the redressal of their grievance including filing a suit before the Civil Court.

4. Ordered accordingly.
5. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 01, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No