



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10825-2024

Date of decision: 10.05.2024

Sukhdev Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in essence, the limited grievance of the petitioner is that the appeal filed by him, in terms of clause 16 of the Punjab Foodgrains Labour & Cartage Policy-2023 (P-1), is pending since 01.05.2024. However, the matter being time sensitive and, for it is not being taken up by the First Appellate Authority, petitioner has been constrained to approach this Court. Thus, it is urged that the First Appellate Authority be required to hear and decide the appeal within a specified time.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that let the petitioner appear before the First Appellate Authority on 14.05.2024 at 11:00 AM. Whereupon, the appeal preferred by him shall be taken up, and every possible endeavour shall be made to decide the same on the said date itself. However, he submits that if for any plausible reason, it is not feasible to decide the matter on the appointed date then it shall be decided maximum within a period of one week therefrom, by passing necessary orders, in accordance with law.



That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders, assigning reasons in support thereof, shall be passed within the time indicated by learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

10.05.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No